International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 7 Issue 2, March-April 2023 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

Retinal Complications in Indians with Type 2 Diabetics

Dr. Dhruv Kundu

MBBS, Shri Guru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

ABSTRACT

Diabetic retinopathy is the disease that affects diabetics the most often (DR). The duration of the disease, ineffective control of blood sugar, and the presence of hypertensive are the key causes. Yet, large inter-individual differences in risk indicate that other factors, like as genetic inheritance or insulin variability, are critical in explaining susceptibility to DR development. It is also important to recognise that DR can predict both microvascular and macrovascular issues independently. Hence, DR needs to be factored in when determining the cardiovascular risk of a diabetic. Even if dementia is becoming more prevalent in people with type 2 diabetes, evaluating retina neurodegeneration could help in spotting those at risk. The therapeutic implications of DR awareness in the assessment of a diabetic patient cannot be overstated. It follows that DR may worsen despite a rapid decrease in blood sugar. To wrap up, this article provides a critical evaluation of DR's function within entire care of diabetic patients.

KEYWORDS: *Microvascular complications, retinopathy, diabetes, and retinopathy awareness*

How to cite this paper: Dr. Dhruv Kundu "Retinal Complications in Indians with Type 2 Diabetics" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-7 | Issue-2, April 2023, pp.214-219, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd53983.pdf

IJTSRD53983

INTRODUCTION

Completely avoidable blindness in the adult population due to diabetes-related retinopathy (DR) persists even in wealthy nations [1-3]. Evidence is growing suggesting neurotoxicity is an early marker in the aetiology of DR [4, 5], which has previously been viewed as predominantly a microvascular consequence of diabetes. Recent research from the American Diabetes Association (ADA) has defined DR as a distinct neurovascular complication of diabetes [6], and abnormalities in retinal function can be recognised in individuals without any signs of microvascular abnormalities. There are substantial increases in healthcare expenses related with the presence and progression of DR [7].

Currently available treatments for DR only benefit patients with significant visual impairment. Knowing the causes of DR is crucial for creating new, more efficient preventative and interventional therapy for the earliest stages of the disease [9, 10]. Diabetes originates in the body's metabolic system. Glucose, a metabolic byproduct, is used as fuel throughout the body. Insulin is a hormone that the pancreas produces, an organ near the stomach, that aids in the digestion and absorption of food. The pancreas secretes an adequate amount of insulin in response to meals, allowing for the efficient entry of glucose into cells from the circulation. Diabetics either do not produce any insulin at all or their cells are unable to properly respond to the glucose that is present. The kidneys get rid of the body's surplus glucose in the urine. Because of this, the body continues to lose its principal fuel source even though there is a high concentration of sugar in the blood.

Type 2 diabetes is caused by beta cell failure. This malfunction includes both insulin deficiency and insulin resistance. People with insulin resistance develop a condition in which their muscles, fat, and other cells stop responding to insulin. During this time, the body responds poorly to insulin. Eye condition brought on by diabetes is called diabetic retinopathy (DR). Damage to the retina's delicate blood vessels has been related to potential vision loss. Diabetic retinopathy can progress through three stages: baseline (BDR), proliferative (PDR), and severe (SDR) (SDR). During the BDR phase, retinal arteries weaken and leak, leading to haemorrhages that resemble retinal dots. These abnormal blood vessels frequently cause retinal edoema and blindness. During PDR, the retina suffers from oxygen deprivation and ischemia because of

circulation problems. The circulatory system makes new, fragile capillaries despite its best efforts to maintain steady oxygen levels in the retina. This procedure is known as neovascularization. When blood leaks under the retina and vitreous, it can cause spots called floaters and a general blurring of vision. Due to the ongoing aberrant vascular formation and scar tissue, retinal detachment, glaucoma, and progressive vision loss can occur during the SDR phase of the disease. This research highlights the potential for digital image processing to be used in medical diagnostics, namely to help ophthalmologists and their teams with the difficult tasks of screening for and diagnosing diabetic retinopathy.

Abnormalities Associated with the eye

Congenital anomalies of the eye are another group of anomalies affecting the eye, in addition to diseases of the eye include cataract, conjunctivitis, sinus inflammation, and glaucoma. The second group includes conditions including arterial atherosclerosis, and diabetes that are directly related to one's lifestyle [6]. If unchecked, diabetes-related retinal degeneration (diabetic retinopathy, or DR) can lead to irreversible blindness. Ophthalmologists currently agree that the best way to manage this disease is by prompt diagnosis and therapy [1]. BDR, PDR, and SDR are the three main categories of DR events. They are discussed in the first section of the report. All of the variations I describe below are applicable to this study, and all three of these types are possible. Ocular microaneurysms are the first sign of any disease or damage to the eye. They manifest inside the retina's photoreceptor cells as isolated or clustered dark red spots or what look like small haemorrhages. They have a diameter of 10–100 microns [7], making them smaller than 1/12th the average optical disc. Retinal haemorrhages of the inner retinal layers have a spherical shape and are frequently referred to as blots. Hard exudates are a common kind of diabetic retinopathy, and they can vary in size from pinpoints to large, bordered regions. Both blood and the nutrient-dense fluid found in the eye are included in this category of exudates. They can obstruct the path of light to the retina, resulting in diminished visual acuity.

"Cotton wool patches," as these soft exudates are frequently called, are more frequent in advanced retinopathy. Neovascularization, or even the abnormal development of coronary arteries in the eye, is linked to vision loss. Ischemia, in which blood supply is blocked to the eyes, causes this. If aberrant blood vessels develop around the pupil, it can increase intraocular pressure and lead to glaucoma. Retinal separation from the back of the eye can occur if these fresh faces vessels leak or cause granulation tissue to grow due to their weaker vessel walls. If left untreated, a retinal detachment (when the retina is pulled away from the inside of the eye) can cause total blindness. Bleeding within the eye clouds the vitreous (the transparent, jelly-like fluid that fills the eye) that blocks light from reaching the retina through the pupil, resulting in blurred and distorted vision. In situations with advanced progressive retinopathy, scar tissue, also known as diabetic fibrosis, can form on the retina. [8]

Literature Review

Chanwimaluang and Fan's [9] The detection and measurement of retinal using digitized angiograms [10] is a major advancement above the method used by Zhou et altracking-based. extraction of blood vessels from retina images utilising a four-stage process including needed to enter, algorithm reduces median filter, height sifting, and vasculature intersection detection. The blood vessel was boosted via Matched filtering first since it was assumed to have less reflectance than the background. The initial step was to generate an image using a Matching Filter Response (MFR) filter, and then the entropy of the image was used as a threshold to separate the vessels from the background. Next, we utilised length filtering to get rid of mislabeled pixels, and finally, we employed 3-by-3 and 11-by-11 area windows to check for branches and intersections or crossings. The Algorithm performs admirably when provided with typical fundus photographs devoid of lesions, but it struggles when such images are accompanied by lesions.

In order to classify bright lesions in colour fundus images, Xiaohui, Z., and Chutatape, O. [13] established a three-step technique. In this analysis, only bright lesions were sought out and classified. In the Pre-Processing phase, we apply a local contrast enhancement to the fundus image, and then we utilise an enhanced fuzzy C- Means (IFCM) to segment all possible bright lesions in the Luminance/Chromatintance (LUV) colour space. In the end, a hierarchy supported vector machine (SVM) is utilised to identify true spectacular lesions from false positives brought on by cluster overlaps, uneven colour distribution, and background noise [13].

Another method that helps clinicians discover abnormalities in retinal fundus photographs is "A Development of Computer Aided Diagnosis (CAD) system using fundus photos" [6], which is quite similar to our method. A new four-step diagnostic procedure revealed that 17 out of 230 pictures included red spots. Ten correct identifications, forty erroneous ones, and seven omissions were made. After the first four steps, a colour fundus image is

transformed to black and white and low density areas are masked out using the Binarization method. After that, vascular sections are cut off, and any remaining unnecessary parts are disposed of. It is necessary to improve the aforementioned methods due to their significant false-positive rates.

Risk Factors for Developing DR

Risk factors for developing DR include an extended period of diabetes, insufficient glycemic management (as indicated by increased HbA1c levels), as well as the presence of hypertension. Moreover, a greater body mass index, the onset of adolescence, pregnancy, and cataract surgery are also major risk factor for DR [3].

A second well-studied affiliation among both hypertension and DR exists. Those who were randomly allocated to strict blood pressure control also had a 47% lower chance of deterioration compared to those who were not. A cross-sectional research in a large population (13,473 participants) found that hypertension is an independent risk indicator both for mild-to-moderate DR or sight-threatening DR [18]. A blood pressure reading of less than 140/90 mm Hg is considered optimal according to guidelines established either by Joint National Committee on Blood Pressure 8 [19]. Based on the current body of evidence in the ophthalmology literature, there is no reason to alter this recommendation for people who have been given a diagnosis of DR.

The extent to which increased circulating cytokines, which are common in people with type 2 diabetes, contribute to the occurrence of diabetics microvascular and macrovascular complications (DME) is unclear. Photoreceptors epithelial and glial cells are responsible for the bulk of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the body, thus keeping this in mind is crucial. For this reason, anti-inflammatory drugs given directly into the eye are more effective than those given systemic, such as corticosteroids, for the treatment of DME. [9, 20].

These results suggest that additional factors are crucial for explaining susceptibility to develop this late consequence of diabetes. In particular, proliferative DR is highly heritable (between 25% and 50%; [22, 23]) because of its high prevalence in families. The studies of identical twins did indeed find a high rate of DR concordance (68% in type 1 and 95% in type 2 diabetes) [24]. There was a 3.1-fold greater risk of severe retinopathy in the DCCT group when a family history of retinopathy was present (25). The results of several research on familial risk were consistent [26–28].

The inherent variability of plasma glucose may be to blame for the shortcomings of HbA1c level in forecasting the beginning and development of DR. As CGM logs glucose levels over a period of days, it has become possible to develop new glycemic control measures that provide more detailed and real - time insights than just the Glycated hemoglobin alone. One of the measures supplied by CGM is time in range (TIR), which shows whether hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia are occurring less frequently and for shorter durations over time. Standard values fall between 3.9% and 10% mmol/L. TIR was found to be associated with DR throughout all stages of the disease, despite researchers taking into account demographic variables including age, sex, BMI, diabetic duration, heart rate, lipid profile, and HbA1c level [29]. Retinopathy risk was also found to be strongly associated with variations in fasting plasma glucose, according to a comprehensive study and meta-analysis [30].

Diabetic retinopathy is a term used to describe the damage to the retinal microvasculature caused by diabetes (DR). About 30.9% of people around the globe have DR right now, and 8.8%[2] of people with DM do as well. DR affects people with both types of diabetes [5]. The vast majority of patients with type 1 DM and 75% of those who have type 2 DM will develop DR after 15 years of living with the disease, according to previous epidemiological studies. DR is a major factor in preventable blindness in India [6], where there is an epidemic of type 2 diabetes. Of those who have type 2 DM, between 9.6 and 33.9 percent also have DR in India. Despite its prevalence, diabetic eye disease can often be treated and even prevented [7]. If dangers are quickly removed, quality of life can be preserved. DR may present with no visible symptoms [8]. However, some people may suffer from floaters, which manifest as particles or strands of blackness in their field of vision; blurred or wavy vision; trouble differentiating colours; or even complete blindness. [9] Long-term diabetes, inadequate glycemic control, hypertension, and dyslipidemia all raise the likelihood of developing microvascular and macrovascular complications; the latter three may be modifiable. [10 12. Many of our patients have discussions about the risks of diabetic microvascular complications, but screening for these complications is infrequent. Most people only go to an ophthalmologist when they're having trouble seeing, and even then, they might not get a thorough check of their retina. Dilated eye examinations are necessary for retinopathy evaluation, which can be challenging if no carers are accessible for the diabetic patient. People with diabetes may not realise how important it is to pay close attention at their first

appointment, so they may only retain a little amount of information. As a result, patients quit taking the necessary precautions. Our objective was to assess the level of understanding of microvascular issues among every one of the patients who had been given instruction on the topic. The objective of this research was to assess the level of knowledge about DR held by individuals with type 2 diabetes who visited a specialised diabetic clinic at a tertiary care facility.

METHODS

In Madya pradesh, India, researchers conducted a cross-sectional study in an endocrinology outpatient clinic. In 2019, patients with adult-onset type-2 diabetes DM were selected during the months of July and August, with the exception of those who were pregnant or newly diagnosed. All of the diabetes patients who came to the clinic received a comprehensive, individualised, and well-structured education on their condition. Patients were informed about the disease's origins and outcomes, the significance of keeping blood sugar levels in the normal range, and the efficacy of modifying their diet and way of life to achieve this goal. Pupils gained an understanding of the warning indications of diabetes' microvascular and macrovascular problems and the importance of routine evaluation to rule them out. Instructions on how to inject insulin, adjust their dosage, treat hypoglycemia, etc. were also provided. At the first visit, we used biothesiometry to examine each patient's foot and referred everyone who tested positive for DR to an eye doctor. A questionnaire was used to collect patient data. Questions about diabetes were asked of everyone who took part, with an emphasis on how well they knew about DR. Using a proforma, we documented the patient's level of retinopathy awareness, height, weight, and diabetes background. In-Depth Analyses Data was analysed in Microsoft Excel 2007, and results were presented as percentages, means, and standard deviations.

Results

In total, 120 patients were surveyed for the study. There were 59.9 males and 12.18 females in the sample, with a mean age of 59.9 and a standard deviation of 12.18. The ages of the participants in the sample ran the gamut from 27 to 88. Patients aged 41–55 constituted the second-largest age group [Table 1]. A total of 120 people participated, including 58 (48%) women and 62 (52%) men.

Table 1 Age Distribution

Age Group	Males	Females
21-40	4	5
41-55	14	14
56-70	32	29
71-90	12	10

Diabetes has been a part of our patients' lives for an average of over 10 years, and for 67 of them (55.6%), it had been for 20 years or more. Results for HbA1c ranged widely, from 5.3% to 14%. Twenty-four had HbA1c readings below 7%, making up just 20%, while 43, making up 35.8%, were over 8%. Only seven people had their HbA1c checked in the past six months, which is 7.4%. Ninety-three percent of those 120 DM patients surveyed reported having a retinal exam. In addition, 46 individuals (38.3%) were aware that DM could lead to visual impairment. Of the remaining 74 people, only 5 (6.7%) had been checked for ocular problems since they knew diabetes could cause them. Forty-two people (35%) reported having a conversation on the retinal connection of diabetes. Twelve of them (28.5%) reported that their physician was the only source of information. The remaining 30 (71.4%) found out about retinopathy through non-medical channels, such as word of mouth. In a survey we gave our patients, 67 percent thought it was important for diabetics to get annual eye exams. But, 31.6 percent, or 38 persons, stated they didn't even think they required an eye check because they weren't having any symptoms. Despite this, 89 respondents (or 74% of the sample) claimed they thought glycemic management would help prevent retinopathy. Nevertheless, only 5% of individuals who have been aware of retinopathy knew that even a dilated eye exam can aid in diagnosing the illness. Thirty-seven percent of respondents in a study of eye doctors (that's 44 people) felt that refraction testing alone was adequate for retinopathy assessment. Only 6 participants (5%!) reported having used laser therapy. Only about 5% of patients were aware that laser treatment had the potential to delay the onset of DR.

Conclusion

The growing epidemic of DM will only make the public health crisis that is DR worse in the years to come. Despite the availability of viable therapies, DR is still the leading cause of avoidable blindness throughout the globe. Timely eye exams, as recommended by the World Health Organization and other professional organisations, provision of standard preventative medication, optimum treatment of blood sugar and blood pressure levels, attempts to educate people with diabetes about eye health, and eventually efforts to prevent DM by teaching and empowering them: these are all components of effective DR management programmes from a public health viewpoint. In India, 45 percent of those who suffer from DR don't see an eye doctor until they've already lost their sight. An enormous amount of work is required to raise public consciousness. This suggests that social media and other non-traditional

health care sources may assist to bridge this knowledge gap. In light of this, it is important to think about how to spread the word via mainstream and social media.

References

[1] American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2012; 35:S64-71.

[2] Ogurtsova K, Fernandes JD, Huang Y, Linnenkamp U, Guariguata L, Cho NH, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: Global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2017; 128:40-50.

[3] Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J. IDF diabetes atlas: Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011; 94:311-21.

[4] Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Shaw J. Global and societal implications of the diabetes epidemic. Nature 2001; 414:782-7.

[5] Zheng Y, He M, Congdon N. The worldwide epidemic of diabetic retinopathy. Indian J Ophthalmol 2012; 60:428-31.

[6] LeeR, Wong TY, Sabanayagam C. Epidemiology of diabetic retinopathy, diabetic macular edema and related vision loss. Eye Vis (Lond) 2015; 2:17.

[7] Raman R, Rani PK, Rachepalle SR, Gnanamoorthy P, Uthra S, Kumaramanickavel G, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India: Sankara nethralaya diabetic retinopathy epidemiology and molecular genetics study report 2. Ophthalmology 2009; 116:311-8.

[8] Wong TY, Klein R, Islam FM, Cotch MF, Folsom AR, Klein BE, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in a multi-ethnic cohort in the United States. Am J Ophthalmol 2006; 141:446-55.

[9] Harris MI, Klein R, Welborn TA, Knuiman MW. Onset of NIDDM occurs at least 4-7 yr before clinical diagnosis. Diabetes Care 1992; 15:815-9.

[10] Stratton IM, Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, Turner RC, Holman RR, Manley SE, et al. UKPDS 50: Risk factors for incidence and progression of retinopathy in type II diabetes over 6 years from diagnosis. Diabetologia 2001; 44:156-63.

[11] Tapp RJ, Shaw JE, Harper CA, de Courten MP, Balkau B, McCarty DJ, et al. The prevalence of and factors associated with diabetic retinopathy in the Australian population. Diabetes Care 2003; 26:1731-7.

[12] Mohamed Q, Gillies MC, Wong TY. Management of diabetic retinopathy: A systematic review. JAMA 2007; 298:902-16.

[13] Brownlee M. The pathobiology of diabetic complications: A unifying mechanism. Diabetes 2005; 54:1615-25.

[14] Nathan DM. Prevention of long-term complications of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Clin Invest Med 1995; 18:332-9.

[15] Sabanayagam C, Yip W, Ting DS, Tan G, Wong TY. Ten emerging trends in the epidemiology of diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2016; 23:209-22.

[16] Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. Factors associated with eye examinations in persons with diabetes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1994; 35:1141.

[17] Rani PK, Raman R, Agarwal S, Paul PG, Uthra S, Margabandhu G, et al. Diabetic retinopathy screening model for rural population: Awareness and screening methodology. Rural Remote Health 2005; 5:350.

[18] Khandekar R, Harby SA, Harthy HA, Lawatti JA. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding eye complications and care among Omani persons with diabetes – A cross sectional study. Oman J Ophthalmol 2010; 3:60-5.

[19] Namperumalsamy P, Kim R, Kaliaperumal K, Sekar A, Karthika A, Nirmalan PK. A pilot study on awareness of diabetic retinopathy among non-medical persons in South India. The challenge for eye care programmes in the region. Indian J Ophthalmol 2004; 52:247-51.

[20] Murthy GV, Gilbert CE, Shukla R, Vashist P, Shamanna BR. Situational analysis of services for diabetes and diabetic retinopathy and evaluation of programs for the detection and treatment of diabetic retinopathy in India: Methods for the India 11-city 9-state study. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2016; 20:S19-25.

[21] Lin S, Ramulu P, Lamoureux EL, Sabanayagam C. Addressing risk factors, screening, and preventative treatment for diabetic retinopathy in developing countries: A review. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2016; 44:300-20.

[22] Lewis K. Improving patient compliance with diabetic retinopathy screening and treatment. Community Eye Health 2015; 28:68-9.

[23] Muecke JS, Newland HS, Ryan P, Ramsay E, Aung M, Myint S, et al. Awareness of diabetic eye disease among general practitioners and diabetic patients in Yangon, Myanmar. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2008; 36:265-73.

[24] Malathy R, Narmadha M, Ramesh S, Alvin JM, Dinesh BN. Effect of a diabetes counseling programme on knowledge, attitude and practice among diabetic patients in Erode district of South India. J Young Pharm 2011; 3:65-72.

[25] Rawal LB, Tapp RJ, Williams ED, Chan C, Yasin S, Oldenburg B. Prevention of type 2 diabetes and its complications in developing countries: A review. Int J Behav Med 2012; 19:121-33.

[26] Vengadesan N, Ahmad M, Sindal MD, Sengupta S. Delayed follow-up in patients with diabetic retinopathy in South India: Social factors and impact on disease progression. Indian J Ophthalmol 2017; 65:376-84.